

LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE ("LPNH" or "Appellant")
V.
LIBERTARIAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE ("LNC" or "Appellee")

In re: Disaffiliation for Cause

AMICUS OF CARYN ANN HARLOS DATED JULY 13, 2026
IN SUPPORT OF LNC

BEFORE THE NATIONAL LIBERTARIAN PARTY
JUDICIAL COMMITTEE ("JC")

PREFACE

I submit the following Amicus, authored by John Ponty, a sustaining member who uses a pseudonym to maintain his privacy, as my own which I endorse as my own and take submission ownership over it. However, there are several points to add. In the rejected Stamper et. al. Amicus which is likely to be properly resubmitted, it is completely false to claim that the recommendations of the Dixon Committee were “explicitly endorsed by the LNC as a normative standard” (page 3). In fact, they explicitly were not as discussed more fully below.

Second, Stamper et. al. fundamentally miscast the doctrine of detrimental reliance which requires proof of actual reliance and a fundamentally changed circumstance in reliance. Actual attorneys can hash that out should it become necessary, but this is not a legal proceeding. However, putting legal arguments aside, in 2021-2022, after the Dixon Committee, there were in fact actual internal rifts in the Delaware and Massachusetts affiliates which far more closely mirror the prior 2021 NH rift and there were absolutely no investigatory committees formed, destroying any illusion of any standard practice outline by the Dixon Committee which affiliates relied. In the New Mexico disaffiliation, there was no vote of the state chairs (which was one of the recommendations of the Dixon Committee whose recommendations Stamper et. al. insist was the adopted procedure of the LNC). While it may be argued, that those affiliates were “heard from,” so was the LPNH in multiple meetings with LNC representatives, and the then LPNH Chair was on the LNC for the whole prior term and represented the affiliate’s obstinance. The fact is that each situation is unique and there is no one uniform method of handling, unlike LNC members (and unlike the cases put forth that pertain to associational members and very well may have later distinguishing cases). There is no set standard. The JC is tasked with evaluating.

Lastly, I would like to add what so many of us are thinking. Not everyone is a great writer, but filings to the Judicial Committee are intended to be the genuine human arguments of human members. It is quite certain that an AI completely wrote the rejected Stamper et. al. Amicus, and I do fervently hope that if it is resubmitted that the signatories give the Judicial Committee the respect it deserves of submitting its own words and thoughts. JC hearings are not a contest between competing AIs.

Following is the amicus of John Ponty, adopted and incorporated as my own, as a Lifetime member of the Libertarian Party. I consent to have it treated as my own to comply with the JC Rules, and I endorse and sign my name to the submission.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE LEFT PURPOSEFULLY BLANK]

Amicus Curiae Brief in Support of Appellee LNC

1. Introduction

Amicus is a sustaining member of the Libertarian Party, who had also previously reported on controversies surrounding Appellant the formerly recognized New Hampshire affiliate. The purpose of this brief is to respond to Appellant's and claims made in a brief submitted by Stamper et al¹ regarding the 2021 Investigative Committee (called the "Dixon Committee" by Petitioner and Stamper et al), and to provide statements made by Appellant that contradict the Statement of Principles, the membership pledge, or otherwise would cause reputational harm to the National Party or other affiliates by association with Appellant. It also provides information on previous remedial action taken by Appellee.

2. 2021 Investigative Committee

On June 19th, 2021, Appellee Libertarian National Committee (LNC) adopted a motion to create an ad hoc investigative committee of three, to investigate the causes of the splintering of the then Libertarian Party of New Hampshire (see **Exhibit A**). Appellant claims that Appellee, through this motion, "effectively empowered the Dixon Committee to create a normative model governing the LNC's intervention into the internal disputes of affiliates. It also created an expectation among Libertarian Party affiliates that the LNC would generally follow the Dixon Committee model to the extent it interceded in their internal disputes" (Appellant, ¶ 66). Stamper et al go on to claim that "the Jarvis Resolution and Dixon Committee report together created a governing model for LNC intervention in affiliate affairs...Affiliates across the country observed this process and were entitled to understand it as the framework within which the LNC would operate whenever it intervened in their affairs... When [Stamper et al] chose to build within the Libertarian Party rather than independently... we did so understanding that the relationship between affiliates and the national party would be governed by fair and established norms. The Jarvis Resolution and Dixon Committee model were central to that understanding" (Stamper et al, 2). They also claim that Appellee committed themselves to the 2021 Investigative Committee's model through the motion forming it, and that such commitment "becomes part of the associational contract, binding on the LNC in its dealings with every affiliate" (Stamper et al, 3).

As amicus Caryn Ann Harlos mentioned in her brief, Appellee never adopted the model or made such commitment as Stamper et al claim (Harlos, 9). On September 4th, 2021, Appellee adopted a motion to consider the 2021 Investigative Committee's report as its final report, effectively disbanding the committee (see **Exhibit B**). No motion was made to either implement the recommendations of the report or to adopt the report in its entirety, in accordance with the

¹ The brief has since been rejected for not following the Judicial Committee's Rules of Appellate Procedure, but in case it is resubmitted the claims shall be treated proactively. It is questionable whether the claims made in the brief signed by Stamper et al could be classified as their claims: it appears to this amicus that the entirety of the brief was written by a large language model, such as ChatGPT, and whatever claims thus made were made by the model rather than Stamper et al. Regardless, Stamper et al signed their names to the brief, making them responsible for whatever facts and claims made by the large language model they may have used to draft it.

parliamentary authority of the Libertarian Party (RONR 51:10-13). No reasonable expectation can be made of a body to follow a model or framework that it did not adopt; any such expectation to do so is based on a faulty understanding of what actions Appellee took in 2021.

3. Statements Made by Appellant

Appellant has continuously made statements contrary to the Statement of Principles as well as the Libertarian Party's membership pledge.² In order to remain brief, only a few examples will be listed. Screenshots are provided in **Exhibit C** of this brief.

On March 10th, 2025, Appellant claims that "Non-citizen immigrants... are not entitled to full rights" in response to the detention of legal residents over pro-Palestine speech. The statement contradicts the Libertarian Party's opposition to "all attempts by government to abridge the freedom of speech and press, as well as government censorship in any form" and its affirmation that "all individuals have the right to exercise sole dominion over their own lives, and have the right to live in whatever manner they choose, so long as they do not forcibly interfere with the equal right of others to live in whatever manner they choose" (LP Platform, 3) by denying that individuals who are not citizens have a right to freedom of speech.

Appellant has made multiple statements condoning the use of violence for political goals, in opposition to the membership pledge (see LP Bylaw 4.1) as well as justified murder by government forces contrary to the Libertarian Party's opposition the violation of the right to life (LP Platform, 3). In January 2026, a statement was made that "it's never murder to kill a communist" in response to the murder of Renee Good; that "When the state kills a communist, libertarians shouldn't complain. We want these things done more efficiently and privately, sure. But we want them done;" that it was "ridiculous" that Alex Pretti, who Appellant labels a "left-wing government employee and union member was killed with our tax money. [Appellant] would have done it for free."

Appellants also made a statement in January supporting the killing of undocumented immigrants by citizens, responding to the original statement advocating such with "You can't manifest a destiny without taking a few scalps." They had made a similar statement as well, bringing up a 1744 New Hampshire law which made it so "the government paid £100 for a male Abenaki warrior's scalp, and £50 for a woman or child," as an example to how "The problem of incompatible residents can be solved without a standing army." The statements coincide with Appellant's June 10th, 2025 statement supporting the use of force by U.S. Immigrations and Customs Enforcement for specific political and social ends, saying "Mass deportations are necessary and just if America hopes to preserve any of its character. Currently, ICE is the only tool to[sic] the country has to facilitate this. The tyranny is not ICE deportations. It's that good ol' boys in Texas can't help."

From March through May 2026, Appellant states that "If killing [U.S. President Donald] Trump would somehow result in a libertarian country it would obviously be morally legitimate;" that any person who identifies as a socialist "must be expelled, jailed, or killed" because "you cannot reason with a socialist any more than you can a rapist"; that "it is morally legitimate to kill any politician who poses a credible threat of introducing an income tax for New Hampshire;" and have made statements supporting violence against individuals, such as that it is "perfectly

² The statements, as well as statements made by other persons that were shared by Appellant and statements made by its board members, can be found at lpthfiles.com.

permissible to kill” Andru Volinsky, a New Hampshire executive councilor who advocated for an income tax³; that David Meuse, a New Hampshire state representative, “deserve to be threatened every day that [Meuse] live until [Meuse] leaves New Hampshire or die” and that “absolutely anything done to David Meuse is morally permissible. He is as evil as a rapist or murderer, and deserves the same treatment;” and that for Christian Urrutia, a New Hampshire Democrat who supports introducing an income tax as part of a plan to also lower property taxes, “Being hung in public is better than you deserve,” equating the income tax to the enslavement of millions and a threat of violence.

Beyond these, Appellant also made numerous statements that may harm the reputation of the Libertarian Party, specifically connected with race and racism, such as that “Ron Paul was right again” in connection with a statement assigned to him that the end of apartheid in South Africa was the “destruction of civilization;” that people should be thankful for the forced displacement of Native Americans, whom Appellant calls “American Indian savages”; that “violence is an African problem and not a gun problem” is an “indisputably true” statement; and, in response to someone criticizing the Libertarian Party as full of idealists, decided to respond with the racial slur “nigger,” in order to demonstrate “non-leftoidism.” They also repeatedly defend using the slur, going so far as to say that “every libertarian believes it is okay to say the word nigger.”

When Appellant was confronted with the fact that their messaging caused harm to others within the Party, including causing the loss of a possible \$40,000 donation to the Libertarian Party of North Carolina, Appellant replied that they will continue to make these statements such as “blacks are more violent than whites,” through the perspective that they “will continue to refuse to tell lies.” Appellants were thus aware of the harm their actions were doing and refused to change.

4. Previous Actions by Appellee and Other Affiliates

Appellee had previously attempted to take remedial action with Appellant. In May 2025, to avoid disaffiliation of Appellant, both parties made an agreement promising 1) no attacks against others in the Libertarian Party; 2) civil disagreement on controversial topics; and 3) provide context on controversial messages (see **Exhibit D**). Appellants then went against that agreement, for example by attacking former Libertarian presidential candidate Chase Oliver, calling him “an effeminate lying faggot” on July 15th, 2025 (see **Exhibit E**) and continued making statements such as those mentioned above.

In September 2025, Appellant was formally censured for their actions, due to the reputational harm it causes the Libertarian Party, and was invited to voluntarily disaffiliate. Appellant did not do such, and it’s then-chair Ben Weir attacked LNC members who voted in support of the motion, calling them COINTELPRO operatives or woke communist infiltrators.⁴ Despite these condemnations and the official censure, Appellant continued with its actions. Multiple opportunities were given to them to correct course, with a slow escalation of action before disaffiliation was adopted by Appellee.

3 The post has since been deleted, after the New Hampshire Department of Justice contacted Appellant, [per their own statement](#).

4 Evans, Jordan Willow. “Libertarian National Committee Formally Censures New Hampshire Affiliate.” *Independent Political Report*, 22 Sep. 2025, <https://independentpoliticalreport.com/2025/09/libertarian-national-committee-formally-censures-new-hampshire-affiliate/>

Other state and local affiliates also condemned the actions of Appellant, as harmful to the Libertarian Party and opposed to its principles. Such affiliates include the Libertarian Party of New York in December 2024; the Libertarian Party of New Jersey in May 2025; and the Libertarian Parties of Guadalupe County and San Francisco in June 2025. Again, Appellant continued acting in such a manner as was condemned.

5. Conclusion

The actions of Appellant and its leadership have been publicly reported on, causing the Libertarian Party name to be associated with threats of violence and otherwise abhorrent behavior.⁵ For the reasons enumerated above, and for reasons stated in Appellee's response and other amici briefs, amicus requests that the Judicial Committee sustains the suspension of Appellant made pursuant to article 5, section 6 of the Libertarian Party's bylaws.

⁵ See Kile, Meredith. "N.H. Democrat's Tax Idea Made a Lot of People Mad. The State Libertarian Party Said It Was 'Perfectly Permissible to Kill Him.'" *People*, 13 Mar. 2026, <https://people.com/new-hampshire-democrat-tax-idea-got-him-death-threats-from-state-libertarian-party-11925637>; NHPR Staff. "NH Libertarian Party's post on 'assassination' of Harris attracts attention of state, federal law enforcement." *NHPR*, 16 Sep. 2024, <https://www.nhpr.org/nh-news/2024-09-16/nh-libertarian-partys-post-on-assassination-of-harris-attracts-attention-of-state-federal-law-enforcement>; and Fisher, Damien. "NH Libertarian Leader Charged After Alleged Racist Incident." *InDepthNH*, 12 May 2026, <https://indepthnh.org/2026/05/12/nh-libertarian-leader-charged-after-alleged-racist-incident/>

Exhibit A

Member / Alternate	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Luchini	X		
Nanna		X	
Nekhaila		X	
Phillips			X
Raudsep		X	
Sarwark	X		
Smith		X	
Moellman			X
TOTALS	7	6	3

This motion PASSED with a roll call vote of 7-6-3 [20210626-02].

WITHOUT OBJECTION, Mr. Nanna moved to end debate.

A roll call vote was conducted on the main motion, as amended, with the following results:

Member / Alternate	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Bilyeu	X		
Bowen			X
Ebke	X		
Hagan	X		
Harlos	X		
Hewitt			X
Hogarth	X		
Longstreth			X
Luchini			X
Nanna		X	
Nekhaila	X		
Phillips	X		
Raudsep	X		
Sarwark			X
Smith		X	
Moellman			X
TOTALS	8	2	6

This motion PASSED with a roll call vote of 8-2-6 [20210618-XX].¹

The wording of the motion, as adopted, reads as follows:

The LNC shall appoint three members to lead an ad hoc investigative committee which shall provide an independent and thorough investigation into the events which lead to

¹ Refer to minutes of June 18, 2021 for final motion number. Those minutes were pending when these were approved.

the splintering of the Libertarian Party of New Hampshire affiliate. The Libertarian National Committee shall vote on the three members immediately following the passage of this motion. No LNC members shall be eligible to serve on this Committee. Anyone selected must be a member in good standing of the National Party for a minimum of the past five (5) years.

The purpose of the committee will be to provide recommendations to the LNC, JC, and Bylaws committee to resolve any revealed issues. As well as set forth a model of investigating internal matters.

The committee shall form a timeline of events starting at the LP New Hampshire's 2021 state convention until present; determine the involvement of LNC members and LPNH leadership, interview pertinent members of both organizations; review any pertinent party run or personal electronic communications of the parties involved; and set forth a model of investigating internal issue not covered by the Judicial Committee, Bylaws, and Policy Manual.

The investigative committee shall be granted access to and review any Party emails and communications, the LNC shall direct Executive Director Tyler Harris to assist in the procurement process.

During the course of the investigation, the investigative committee may seek permission to access any LPNH assets they deem pertinent by the appropriate local affiliate officials.

The investigative committee shall report back to the LNC for a debriefing of the findings, recommendations, and final thoughts upon the investigation being determined complete by the committee. The committee shall disband upon its final report.

Mr. Nanna moved to **ADJOURN**.

A roll call vote was conducted on the motion to adjourn with the following results:

Member / Alternate	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Bilyeu		X	
Bowen		X	
Ebke		X	
Hagan		X	
Harlos		X	
Hewitt	X		
Hogarth	X		
Longstreth		X	
Luchini		X	

Exhibit B



NEW HAMPSHIRE INVESTIGATORY COMMITTEE

Pat Dixon, as Chair of the New Hampshire Investigatory Committee, provided a written report in advance (see **Appendix N**) and supplemented with an oral report and fielded many questions and answers.

Ms. Adams moved to consider this the final report of the Investigatory Committee which would disband the Committee. [20210904-04]

WITHOUT OBJECTION, Mr. Hagan moved to amend to allow corrections to the timestamps mentioned by the Committee Chair.

WITHOUT OBJECTION, Mr. Luchini moved to amend by substitution as follows: Move to consider this the final report of the Investigatory Committee with all necessary corrections to be delivered by next Saturday.

Mr. Nanna moved to end debate which **PASSED** by a show of hands with a vote count of 10-2.

A roll call vote was conducted on the main motion as amended with the following results:

Member / Alternate	Aye	Nay	Abstain
Adams	X		
Bilyeu	X		
Bowen		X	
Ebke	X		
Ferreira		X	
Hagan	X		
Harlos		X	
Hogarth	X		
Longstreth	X		
Luchini	X		
Moellman		X	
Nanna		X	
Nekhaila	X		
Phillips	X		
Sarwark	X		
TOTALS	10	5	0

This motion PASSED with a roll call vote of 10-5-0. [20210904-04]

Exhibit C



Libertarian Party NH

@LPNH



Non-citizen immigrants are auditioning for citizenship. They are not entitled to full rights.

The part about this being related to Israel is lame, but the pro-Hamas protesters are nearly universally bad people that need to go. [x.com/AntiochusFour/...](https://x.com/AntiochusFour/)

This Post is from an account that no longer exists. [Learn more](#)

8:46 PM · Mar 10, 2025 · 10.1K Views



reason



@reason · Jan 18



Jonathan Ross positioned himself in front of Renee Good's car and continued firing even after he was no longer in its path.



From reason.com

215

98


432

72K



Libertarian Party NH

@LPNH




it's never murder to kill a communist

6:58 PM · Jan 18, 2026 · 5,952 Views



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 🟢
@LPNH



When the state arrests and punishes a murderer, libertarians shouldn't complain.

When the state kills a communist, libertarians shouldn't complain.

We want these things done more efficiently and privately, sure. But we want them done.



Mister. Biberty 🍀 🟢 @MrBiberty · Jan 24

Replying to @LPNH

"The state is being tyrannical but it's not against us so who cares" fuck you

5:26 PM · Jan 24, 2026 · **30.8K** Views



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 🟢
@LPNH



It's ridiculous that a left-wing government employee and union member was killed with our tax money.

We would have done it for free.

10:05 PM · Jan 24, 2026 · **13.5K** Views



Rogue | Frontier Philosophy @RoguesPhilo · Jun 11, 2025



Deportations are anti-American.

Traditionally, we do not "deport" invaders; the government pays citizens to legally execute invaders in self defense.

Here is a history of laws passed that traditionally allowed Americans to defend our nation from hostile foreigners:



73

416

3.2K

176K



Libertarian Party NH

@LPNH



You can't manifest a destiny without taking a few scalps.



Libertarian Party NH @LPNH · Jan 15

Manifest Destiny was a cool concept that the left demonized

It was once the national ethos of the American race. It's behind the movement of the American Filibusters, where private armies would try to conquer foreign lands to expand the American nation by founding new American



Libertarian Party NH
@LPNH




In 1744 in New Hampshire, the government paid £100 for a male Abenaki warrior's scalp, and £50 for a woman or child

The problem of incompatible residents can be solved without a standing army



Libertarian Party of Minnesota @LPofMN · Jan 25

What The F*ck Is This?



6:20 PM · Jan 25, 2026 · 374.4K Views



Libertarian Party NH
@LPNH



Mass deportations are necessary and just if America hopes to preserve any of its character.

Currently, ICE is the only tool to the country has to facilitate this.

The tyranny is not ICE deportations. It's that good ol' boys in Texas can't help.

3:16 PM · Jun 10, 2025 · 1,854 Views



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 🗳️
@LPNH



Yes. It is morally legitimate to kill any politician who poses a credible threat of introducing an income tax for New Hampshire.



Jacob Lee @Jacob_I_Lee · Mar 4

Replying to @LPNH @JasonSorens and 9 others

in self-defense of what? not having an income tax? lmao

9:33 AM · Mar 4, 2026 · **28.9K** Views



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 🗳️ @LPNH · Apr 11



Exhibit 27,593 of why socialists must be expelled, jailed, or killed.

You cannot reason with a socialist any more than you can a rapist.



Ridiculously Over...

@epicaricature

Follow

"Socialism is when you like taxes"

Are you retarded?



Ridiculously Overbuilt Cannon Discharges @epicaricature · Apr 11

Replying to @TheLaurenChen

"Socialism is when you like taxes"


Are you retarded?



8




14



252



3.8K





Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 @LPNH · Apr 26



If killing Trump would somehow result in a libertarian country it would obviously be morally legitimate.

All attempts to kill Trump have been to make America more left-wing and communist, thus are bad.



BlueCollarDog @Blue_Collar_Dog · Apr 26

Replying to @LPNH

So this is a justified attempt at Trump?



27



23



280



8.1K



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 @LPNH · 9h

Governor candidate Andru Volinsky is threatening the forced conscription of millions of hours of labor.

Under libertarian ethical theory, it is perfectly permissible to kill him.

(not an encouragement to do so, as we wish to follow the X TOS)

Volinsky To Release Income Tax Plan To Fund NH Schools



by **Michael Graham**

March 2, 2026





David Meuse @JdmMeuse · Apr 2



The more that comes out about this, the worse it looks for MAGA/Freestate Party leaders in Concord. Too bad the boyz with beards don't like "attitude. They're about to get way more of it than they can handle from voters.
[#NHPolitics](#)



indepthnh.org

State Rep. Thomas: Committee Chair 'Acted in Thr...
State Rep. Wendy Thomas, D-Merrimack, said she just learned she was removed from the House ...




24




11



24



2.8K



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 🇺🇸

@LPNH



You deserve to be threatened every day that you live until you leave New Hampshire or die

We will celebrate either

8:35 AM · Apr 2, 2026 · **6,530** Views



Libertarian Party NH
@LPNH



Reminder that absolutely anything done to David Meuse is morally permissible.

He is as evil as a rapist or murderer, and deserves the same treatment.



David Meuse @JdmMeuse · May 21

Citing his total lack of remorse, the NH House formally censures Rep. Travis Corcoran (R-Weare) 288-55 for toxic posts on social media that harmed his targets and "the reputation of the House of Representatives as a whole."
#NHPolitics



Last edited 7:33 AM · May 22, 2026 · 5,971 Views



Christian Urrutia, Democrat for Congress... @urrutia... · Mar 20

The calls for political violence from leaders in @KellyAyotte's political base is unacceptable. What is more unacceptable? Her silence.

Here is my op-ed in the @UnionLeader.
#NHPolitics



unionleader.com

Christian Urrutia & Andru Volinsky: Political violenc...

America has a political violence problem. It is growing and it has come to New Hampshire. Are ou...




39




10



17



6.4K



Libertarian Party NH

@LPNH

You want to enslave millions of people.

You threaten violence against New Hampshire residents every day.


Being hung in public is better than you deserve.

12:52 PM · Mar 20, 2026 · 4,715 Views



Libertarian Party NH @LPNH · Apr 18

Ron Paul was right again.




**ON THE END OF
APARTHEID IN
SOUTH AFRICA:**

**"(It is the)
destruction
of civilization."**



The Rabbit Hole @TheRabbitHole · Apr 16



Replying to @elonmusk

South Africa has a lot of race laws to cut



4



21



426



8.3K





Libertarian Party NH
@LPNH



Please take a moment today to be thankful that Europeans displaced American Indian savages.



8:11 AM · Oct 13, 2025 · 24.2K Views




Libertarian Party NH @LPNH · Jun 4, 2025



The claim that **violence is an African problem** and not a gun problem:

- 1) Is indisputably true.
- 2) Ends the gun control debate.
- 3) An objectively popular message (300,000+ people saw it yesterday).

We encourage other states and national to follow our lead: tell the truth!




55

277

3.3K

59K





Maky  @Maky103184 · Apr 9




I don't know about this group but, the mainstream libertarian party is barely better than the leftists here in the USA and that is someone with libertarian beliefs. They're full of pure idealists who refuse to see the real world.

 2



 1

 81



Libertarian Party NH  

@LPNH



nigger

8:27 PM · Apr 9, 2026 · 179 Views

 1



 5

 2



Post your reply

Reply



Maky  @Maky103184 · Apr 9




Thank you for making my point for me.
All attack no substance, just like the leftists.

 1



 59



Libertarian Party NH   @LPNH · Apr 10




That wasn't an attack. It was a demonstration of non-leftoidism

 2



 3

 45



Libertarian Party NH  

@LPNH



Every libertarian believes it is okay to say the word nigger.

Every libertarian believes violence is not justified in response to insults.

These are classic and undisputed libertarian positions.



ShellBanger  @Bangershell11 · May 22

Replying to @LPNH

He's an idiot psychopath.

Let him rot.

...

8:03 AM · May 22, 2026 · 10K Views



Libertarian Party NH 🗳️🔵 @LPNH · Mar 20



Men are taller than women.

Men are more violent than women.

Whites are smarter than blacks.

Blacks are more violent than whites.

These are all equally true and equally uncontroversial statements. LPNH will continue to refuse to tell lies.



Phil 🔵 @Phil_Rein · Mar 20

Replying to @LPNH

One affiliate lost a donor who was giving \$40k because of the messaging coming out of LPNH. The account carries the name that extends beyond New Hampshire. I've had to personally defend myself and my career once someone asked if I was a libertarian. I had to ...

💬 27

↻ 59

❤️ 1.4K

📊 26K




Exhibit D



A Statement from the Libertarian Party of New Hampshire

The Libertarian Party of New Hampshire cares fiercely about the perception of the Libertarian Party and its brand. We share the concerns of many in the Party that certain types of communications approaches and styles risk causing substantial damage to the perceptions of the Party.

To that end, the LPNH comms team has recently engaged in several constructive conversations with LNC Chair Steven Nekhaila, Communications Director Brian McWilliams, and Vice Chair Paul Darr. These ongoing discussions have centered around our shared goal of protecting and improving the Libertarian Party brand, as well as maintaining a positive and professional relationship with Party members who may disagree on style or approaches.

LPNH has become well-known for its bold, unapologetic communication strategy. We do not shy away from controversial subjects, and we have proudly put forth some of the most effective libertarian marketing over the last five years. We also acknowledge we have made mistakes. Nonetheless, we believe strongly that no topic should be off-limits for libertarians to discuss. Censorship and self-censorship of necessary truths are antithetical to liberty, and our communications strategy reflects that belief.

That said, we also understand the importance of dialogue, and the benefits of maintaining a larger coalition of libertarians that tolerates some internal disagreement on sensitive subjects. To this end, LPNH is proposing three rules that it will adopt for itself. If you play by these rules, we will too.

1) No intra-party attacks. No aggressive language, slurs, or insults between Libertarian Party members. Save those for our actual enemies. This includes both right-coded insults (gay, retard, etc) and left-coded insults (racist, incel, etc), as well as calls for disaffiliation, removal of party members, etc.

2) Intellectual, sophisticated disagreement. When disagreements arise within the Party, do so politely, with evidence, and with facts and arguments. For repeated disagreements, host conversations, public events, or have blog exchanges that allow each side to present their perspectives in depth. Attract the public by showing we conduct disagreements much better than the duopoly, in a way that's attractive to intelligent and curious people.

3) Provide context when being controversial. Libertarians cannot avoid discussing controversial subjects any more than an early abolitionist could. However, when communications or memes are attracting a lot of criticism, a more substantial, reasoned explanation of why this communication was made should be provided.

We believe this Party is big enough for multiple approaches to outreach and advocacy. Libertarianism is inherently decentralized. This is a great strength of the philosophy, as well as the Party, and it is one to be leaned into. If America were ever to become a Libertarian country, differences between American states would only grow. It's perfectly natural that different types of Libertarians will find different states more to their taste. We believe this is a feature to be encouraged, not suppressed.

Our "New Hampshire First, America Second" strategy is not just a slogan... it's a cherished, sincere belief. We see our mission as not just to get libertarians elected, but to create the first polity that has existed in a long time (if ever). We'll continue to push hard on that message while keeping the door open to collaboration, discussion, and shared purpose with libertarians across the country. Believe it or not, we even want some of our haters to join us in the Live Free or Die state. Here, bold ideas don't just get posted on the internet - they get implemented by thousands of Free Staters.

We welcome and will continue to have further dialogue with Chair Nekhaila or other members of the LNC who wish to be part of these discussions. We hope that through conversation that we can not only just better understand each other, but actively move the country toward Liberty.

In Liberty,

Ben Weir
Chair, Libertarian Party of New Hampshire
Live Free Or Die

Exhibit E



Libertarian Party NH 🇺🇸 @LPNH · Jul 15, 2025



Politics has more to do with people than policy.

It is perfectly reasonable to respect a charismatic soccer star but not respect an effeminate lying **faggot**, even if they have the same policies.

(They don't btw)



Big John ✓

@BigJohn_SXM

Follow back



Please cite the policy differences between the two that draw you to Milei but not Oliver. Be honest.



Bryce Lipscomb ... ✓ @BryceMLips... · 11h

I know this is controversial, but I'd really like my Libertarianism to look more like the right & less like the left.

More @LLibertadAvanza and less ...



19

7

258

5.9K

